

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE				
09/922,032	07/31/2001	William J. Young	0007056-0069/P5132/RSH	7266	
32658	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP			TO, BAOQUOC N		
ONE TABOR	R CENTER, SUITE 1500				
1200 SEVEN	TEEN ST.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER, CO 80202			2172	13	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
		09/922,0	32	YOUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		Baoquoc		2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>07 May 2004</u> .						
2a)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Sind	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Clai	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	n(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	m(s) is/are objected to.							
8)LJ Claii	m(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.					
Application P	apers							
9) <u></u> The :	specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)□ The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Appl	cant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) l	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	[,] 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	SB(08)	6) Other:	пент Аррисацоп (РТО-152))			
S. Patent and Trademan	Office							

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- 1. The request filed on 05/07/04 for a Request For Continued Prosecution (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/922032 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King et al. (US. Patent No. 5,537,592).

Regarding on claims 1 and 11, King teaches a method for migrating a database, including the use of:

Obtaining said database in a first database format (one of the disks is a foreign file format disk) (col. 6, lines 66-67).

King does not disclose the user of abstract format. However, King teaches a disk system type, which is stored file specification for the files being transferred (col. 6, lines

Art Unit: 2172

40-45). In addition, King discloses the claimed representing said database in an abstract format (col. 7, lines 1-9);

Representing said database in an abstract database format (col. 7, lines 1-9);

Using said abstract format to convert said database to a second format (translation flag is set all of the files are translated) (col. 7, lines 1-9); and

Migrating said database in said second database format (col. 7, lines 5-9).

Therefore, it would have been obviously to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the file specification of King as the abstract database format in order to transfer the data file into the different system.

Regarding on claims 2 and 11, King teaches said database is a production database (col. 5, lines 45-50).

Regarding on claims 3 and 12, King teaches database uses a data model (col. 10, lines 47-50).

Regarding on claims 4 and 13, King teaches abstract comprises of one or more views (col. 6, lines 40-45).

Regarding on claims 5 and 14, King teaches step of using said abstract format further comprises: writing scripts (col. 7, lines 1-18).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claims 6 and 15, King teaches step of writing said scripts further comprises:

Writing functions to correct data format conversion (col. 7, lines 1-18).

Regarding on claim 7 and 16, King teaches step of writing said scripts further comprises: building temporary tables to map values in the first format database to values in the second format database (col. 7, lines 20-26).

Regarding on claims 8 and 17, King teaches step of writing said scripts further comprises:

Extracting data from database in first format into insert statements for the database in the second format (col. 7, lines 20-26).

Regarding on claim 9 and 18, King teaches step of extracting data from database in first format into insert statements for the database in the second format further comprising:

Exporting a sample database (col. 7, lines 20-26);

Importing the said sample database into a test area (col. 7, lines 20-26);

Running said scripts created in claim (col. 7, lines 20-26); and

Comparing the data of the database in a first format with the data of the database in a second format (col. 7, lines 20-26).

Art Unit: 2172

Regarding on claims 10 and 20, King teaches comparing said data of the database in a first format with said data of the database in a second format further comprises:

Comparing and checking the record counts (col. 7, lines 20-27);

Comparing and checking the key and other value counts (col. 7, lines 20-27);

Comparing and checking the graphical user interface (col. 7, lines 20-27); and

Comparing and checking the logs (col. 7, lines 20-27).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wilmsen et al. (US. Patent No. 6,578,030 B1) Patent date: 06/10/20003

Contact Information

5, Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is (703) 305-1949 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at (703) 305-9790.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2172

Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202
Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Baoquoc N. To June 14, 2004

fear M. Corneles

formany traminer

ART Venit 2172